

The China Mail.

Established February, 1845.

VOL. XXXVII. No. 5578.

五一月廿一一千八百八十一英

HONGKONG, TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1881.

PRICE, \$24 PER ANNUM.

AGENTS FOR THE CHINA MAIL

Notices of Firms.

NOTICE.

WE have authorized MR. LEOPOLD FLEMMING to sign our Firm at Foochow, per Procuration, from this date.

SIEMSSSEN & Co.

Hongkong, May 10, 1881. jo10

NOTICE.

MR. HERMANN OTTE has been authorized to sign our Firm for Procuration.

PUSTAU & Co.

Hongkong, Canton, May 18, 1881. jo18

Auctions.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to sell by Public Auction, on

WEDNESDAY,

the 1st June, 1881, at 2.30 o'clock p.m., at the Prunies,

THE VALUABLE AND DESIRABLE LEASEHOLD PROPERTY,

Registered as INLAND LOT No. 638, with 25 well-built Substantial Messuages, Sizors and Premises thereon, as the same is held for the residue of a term of 17 years from the 1st July, 1875.

For further Particulars and Conditions of Sale, apply to Messrs. BURFORD & WORTON, 29 Queen's Road, Hongkong, Solicitors for the Vendors; or to

J. M. ARMSTRONG,

Auctioneer, Queen's Road, Hongkong.

Hongkong, May 20, 1881. jo1

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to sell by Public Auction, on

THURSDAY,

the 2nd June, 1881, at 2 p.m., at No. 13, Elgin Street,

The whole of the

HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, &c.,

comprising:

AMERICAN-MADE MAROON VELVET-COVERED DRAWING-ROOM SUITE.

CENTRE TABLE, ENGLISH-MADE CARD TABLE, CHIMNEY GLASSES, ENGRAVINGS, OLOMOUP, CHROMOS, CARPET, HEARTH RUG, STATIONERY, ORNAMENTS,

DINING TABLE, SIDEBOARD, WHATNOTS;

DINNER, DESSERT AND BREAKFAST SETS;

GLOVES AND PLATED WARE;

AMERICAN-MADE DOUBLE BRISTLESTED, DOUBBLE-WINGED WARDROBE, CHEST OF DRAWERS, MARBLE-TOP BUREAU WITH GLASS, WASH-STAND WITH GLASS, AND DAVENTOR.

BLUE RPP-COVERED BEDROOM SUITE.

ONE HARMONIUM AND ONE MUSICAL BOX.

ETC., ETC., ETC.

Catalogues will be issued.

TERMS OF SALE.—As customary.

J. M. ARMSTRONG,

Auctioneer.

Hongkong, May 30, 1881. jo2

FOR SALE.

FOR SALE.

GENUINE PORT WINE.

M. DE SOUZA GUERRE, well-known

B-R-A-N-D-S,

Black Label with 3 grapes @ \$18 per case of 1 dozen Quarts.

Black Label with 2 grapes @ \$16 per case of 1 dozen Quarts.

White Label @ \$13 per case of 1 dozen Quarts.

Apply to F. J. V. JORGE,

At Messrs. RUSSELL & Co.'s.

Hongkong, May 5, 1881. jo5

FOR SALE.

FOR SALE.

THE desirable BUNGALOW, known as

"CHONEST," at VICTORIA GAP, on

Farm Lot 67.

For Particulars, apply to

RUSSELL & Co.

Hongkong, May 20, 1881.

TO LET.

TO LET.

N. O. 2, OLD BAILEY STREET,

And, No. 6, PEDDAR'S HILL.

Apply to

DAVID SASOON, SONS & Co.

Hongkong, May 30, 1881.

TO LET.

TO LET.

THE DWELLING-HOUSE—No. 31,

WELLINGTON STREET.

ROOMS IN CLUB CHAMBERS, suitable for

Offices or Chambers.

Apply to

DOUGLAS LAPRAIK & Co.

Hongkong, March 26, 1881.

TO LET.

TO LET.

Ninth Volume of the

"CHINA REVIEW."

Now Ready.

No. 5.—Vol. IX.

OF THE

"CHINA REVIEW."

CONTAINS

A Short Journey in Sz Chuan.

Notices of Eminent Statesmen of the Pre-

sent Dynasty.

The Principle of Nature.

The Mystery of the Skin.

Common Superstitions about Infants.

Notices of New Books and Literary Intel-

ligence.

Notes and Queries.

Dr. Hance's Botanical Notices.

Botanical Notes.

"Finger" Numerals.

The Feast of Lanterns at Padang.

Sinologies and Chinese History.

The Chinese Character "Seven".

Catholic Missionaries in the East.

A Chinese Ode Paraphrased.

Flogging of Criminals.

A Korean Dictionary.

Books Wanted, Exchanges, &c.

Hongkong, May 21, 1881.

NOTES.

ENTERICON

ENTERICON gives Immediate Relief to

Indigestion, Loss of Appetite, Want of Vitality,

Mental Depression, &c.

ENTERICON is warranted not to con-

tain in any form, Mercury, Opium, Ether,

Arsenic, Strychnine, or any deleterious

Drug whatever.

Sold by all Wholesale and Retail Drug-

gists throughout the Civilized World.

Sold in China by

WATSON & CO., Hongkong Dispensary.

WATSON & CO., Shanghai.

ENTERICON, May 7, 1881.

ENTERICON

ENTERICON gives Speedy Relief and a

Permanent Cure in Nervous Debility, Spar-

matricaria, Wasting Diseases.

ENTERICON is the only Infallible Rem-

edy for Liver Complaints, Poorness of

Blood, Loss of Appetite, Want of Vitality,

Mental Depression, &c.

ENTERICON is warranted not to con-

tain in any form, Mercury, Opium, Ether,

Arsenic, Strychnine, or any deleterious

Drug whatever.

Sold by all Wholesale and Retail Drug-

gists throughout the Civilized World.

Sold in China by

WATSON & CO., Hongkong Dispensary.

WATSON & CO., Shanghai.

ENTERICON, May 7, 1881.

For Sale.

KELLY & WALSH

POSTAGE STAMP ALBUMS.

WE have Just RECEIVED

POSTAGE STAMP ALBUMS.

THE CHINA MAIL

No. 5578 - MAY 31, 1881.

For Sale.

MACEWEN, FRICKEL & CO.
HAVE RECEIVED, FOR SALE,
Ex Recently arrived Mail and
other Steamships.

**AMERICAN AND ENGLISH
GROCERIES,**
FRESH SUPPLIES RECEIVED IN EVEREVE
MAIL.

Eastern and California CHEESE.
Bones Codfish.
Primo HAMS and BACON.
Russian CAVIARE.
Eagle Brand Condensed MILK.
PEACH, and APPLE BUTTER.
PICKLED OX-TONGUES.
Family PIG-PORK in legs and pieces.
Paragon MACKEREL in 5 lb cans.
Beau Ideal SALMON in 5 lb cans.
Cutting's Dessert FRUITS in 2 lb cans.
Assorted Canned VEGETABLES.
Potted SAUSAGE and Sausage
MEAT.
Stuffed PEPPERS.
Assorted PICKLES.
MINCEMEAT.

COMB HONEY in Original Frames.
Richardson & Robbin's Celebrated Potted
MEATS.
Richardson & Robbin's Canned OYSTERS.
Luncheon TONGUE.
Assorted American SYRUPS, for Sunda
Drinks.

McCarthy's Sugar LEMONADE.
Clam CHOWDER.
Codfish BALLS.
Green TURTLE in 2 lb cans.

**CALIFORNIA
RACKER**
COMPANY'S BISCUITS in 5 lb
tins, and loose.
Alphabetical BIS
CUTTS.
Fancy Sweet Mixed
BISCUITS.
Ginger CAKES.
Soda BISCUITS.
Oyster BISCUITS.

Cracked WHEAT.
OATMEAL.
HOMINY.
CORNMEAL.
BUCKWHEAT FLOUR.
RYE MEAL.

NEW BOOKS,
3,000 Numbers "FRANKLIN SQUARE" and
"SEASIDE" LIBRARIES, including
McCarthy's "HISTORY OF OUR OWN
TIMES," "ENDEAVOUR,"
other recent Publications,
from 15 cents to 25
cents each.

WILLIAMS'S "MIDDLE KINGDOM."
GRIFFIN'S "MIKADO'S EMPIRE."
"PARTISAN LIFE WITH MOSBY."
"WEARING THE GREY."

BANCROFT'S HISTORY OF THE UNITED
STATES.

MOTLEY DUTCH REPUBLIC.
JOHN OF BARNWELDT.

UNITED NETHERLANDS.

THE HARP OF A THOUSAND
STRINGS.

HARPER'S HALF HOUR SERIES.

FRENCH NOVELS:

MEDICAL WORKS.

SCHOOL BOOKS.

Presentation BOOKS.

WORKS OF REFERENCE.

ALBUMS of Music, with Words.

ALBUMS of Pianoforte Pieces.

Sheet MUSIC.

Photo. ALBUMS, Etc., Etc.

SPECIALTY SELECTED.

CIGARS.

WINES, SPIRITS, BEER AND
AERATED WATERS.

CROSSE & BLACKWELL'S
and.

JOHN MOIR & SON'S
FAMOUS HOUSEHOLD STORES.

TEYSSONNAU'S DESSERT FRUITS.

SAVOURY PATE.

GAME PATE.

PORK PATE.

OX PALATES.

HUNG (Hambr) BEEF

TRIPE.

FRUITS for Ices.

SHERBET.

COCCOTINA.

VAN HOUTEN'S COCOA.

EPPER'S COCOA.

ROBINSON'S GROATS.

GELATINE.

Russia OX-TONGUES.

French PIGS.

PATE DE FOIE GRAS.

SARDINES.

Ham TONGUE and
Chicken SAUSAGE.

ASPARAGUS.

MACARONI.

VERMICELLI.

SAUSAGES.

MEATS.

SOUPS, &c., &c.

SHIPHANDLER OF every Description.

RIGGING and SAIL-MAKING promptly
executed.

Hongkong, May 30, 1881.

Mails.

NOTICE.

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES
MARITIMES.

PAQUEBOT'S POSTE FRANCAIS.
STEAM FOR

SAIGON, SINGAPORE, BATAVIA,
POINT DE GALLE, COLOMBO,
ADEN, SUEZ, ISMAILIA, PORT
SAID, NAPLES, AND
MARSEILLES.

A. B. STEAMSHIP
BOMBAY, MAHÉ, ST. DENIS, AND
PORT LOUIS.

Eastern and California CHEESE.
Bones CODFISH.

Primo HAMS and BACON.

Russian CAVIARE.

Eagle Brand Condensed MILK.

PEACH, and APPLE BUTTER.

PICKLED OX-TONGUES.

Family PIG-PORK in legs and pieces.

Paragon MACKEREL in 5 lb cans.

Beau Ideal SALMON in 5 lb cans.

Cutting's Dessert FRUITS in 2 lb cans.

Assorted Canned VEGETABLES.

Potted SAUSAGE and Sausage

MEAT.

Stuffed PEPPERS.

Assorted PICKLES.

MINCEMEAT.

COMB HONEY in Original Frames.

Richardson & Robbin's Celebrated Potted

MEATS.

Richardson & Robbin's Canned OYSTERS.

Luncheon TONGUE.

Assorted American SYRUPS, for Sunda

Drinks.

McCarthy's Sugar LEMONADE.

Clam CHOWDER.

Codfish BALLS.

Green TURTLE in 2 lb cans.

TAUNTON, British ship, Capt. J. Harvey.

ST. VINCENT, British barque, Capt. Robt.

THOMPSON.—Melchers & Co.

PYRMONT, German barque, Captain

Schröder.—Arnold, Karberg & Co.

SOLIMON, French barque, Captain L.

Rouiller.—Carlowitz & Co.

XENIA, American barque, Captain N. E.

Reynolds.—Orde.

WILDFIRE, British steamer, Captain Paul

Berthe.—Borneo Company, Limited.

LENNON, British steamer, Captain D.

Siemens & Co.

ALEX. NEWTON, British barque, Captain

A. Newton.—Captain.

LOCHIEL, British 3-masted schooner, Capt.

D. S. Ewan.—Siemens & Co.

CHRISTINE, British barque, Captain J.

WILDFIRE.—Siemens & Co.

Rose M., British barque, Captain D.

Black.—Edward Schellbach & Co.

CLARENCE B. CARVER, Amer. ship, Capt.

Clarey Dow.—Doughty & Co. & Co.

AMETHYST, American barque, Captain J.

Slocum.—Captain.

HONGKONG, May 31, 1881.

Insurances.

THE INTERNATIONAL MARINE
INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED,
OF LIVERPOOL.

The Undersigned having been appointed
AGENTS of the above Company, are
prepared to grant Policies on MARINE

RISKS to all parts of the World.

ARNHOLD, KARBERG & CO.

Hongkong, Nov. 27, 1880. 27881

412

YAN TSZE'S INSURANCE
ASSOCIATION.

CAPITAL Fully Paid-up...Tls. 420,000.00

PERMANENT RESERVE...Tls. 230,000.00

SPECIAL RESERVE FUND...Tls. 288,936.17

TOTAL CAPITAL AND
ACCUMULATIONS 2nd...Tls. 938,936.17

APRIL 1861.

Directors.

F. B. FORBES, Esq., Chairman.

W. M. BOYD, Esq., W. M. MEYERSON, Esq.

J. H. PINCKERS, Esq., F. D. HITCH, Esq.

Esq.

HEAD OFFICE—SHANGHAI.

MESSRS BARING & BROTHERS & CO.,

London Branch.

RICHARD BLACKWELL, Esq., Agent.

68 and 69, Cornhill.

POLICIES granted on MARINE RISKS to all
parts of the World.

Subject to a Charge of 12% for Interest
on Premiums.

of the Undersigned, having been appointed
AGENTS of the above Company, are
prepared to grant Policies on MARINE

RISKS to all parts of the World.

Contents and value of Packages are
required.

For further particulars, apply at the
Company's Office.

CL. DE CHAMPEAUX,
Agent.

Hongkong, May 20, 1881.

413

STEAM FOR

SINGAPORE, PENANG, POINT DE
LAU, ADEN, SUEZ, ISMAILIA, PORT
SAID, MALTA, GIBRALTAR,
BRINDISI, ANCONA, VENICE,
SOUTHAMPTON, and LONDON;

ALSO,

BOMBAY, MADRAS, CALCUTTA, and
AUSTRALIA.

STEAM TO YOKOHAMA, VIA KOREA.

N.B.—Cargo can be taken on through Bills
of Lading for BATAVIA, PERSIAN
GULF PORTS, MARSEILLES,
TRISTE, HAMBURG, NEW YORK
AND BOSTON.

Hongkong, Sept. 25, 1880. 27881

414

CHINESE INSURANCE COMPANY
(LIMITED).

NOTICE.

POLICIES GRANTED at current rates
of MARINE RISKS to all parts of the
World, in accordance with the
Company's Articles of Association. Two-thirds
of the Profits are distributed annually to
Contributors, whether Shareholders or not,
whether or not the net amount of Premium
contributed by each, the remaining third
being carried to Reserve Fund.

BRADLEY SMITH,
Secretary.

Hongkong, April 6, 1881. 6882

415

occasion. They had to congratulate themselves on the new Bath-house. He believed it had been made a very good job, and the work had been hurried on so that the bath might be ready for the season. He hoped the accounts would be punctual, and allow them to keep it and enjoy it for some time, now that they had it. He proposed and Mr. Hynes seconded the adoption of the accounts, which was carried.

On the motion of Dr. Cloth, the Chairman was re-elected by acclamation.

The Chairman returned thanks for the honour.

On the motion of the Chairman, and with their consent to serve, Dr. Blommer, Bassett as Secretary and Mr. Bovis as Treasurer, were re-elected.

Mr. Tripp suggested that it should be borne in mind in voting for the Committee that they required working members. He suggested that a Captain should be named for the Boat-house who would be able to look after the rowing; another member being told off for the Bathing establishment and a third for the Gymnasium. The rowing would greatly improve were there a good crew.

Mr. Hynes and the Chairman concurred in the latter's suggestion. The 2nd of May a boat being taken Messrs. Hynes, Beatt, Cloth, Tripp, Friend and Leigh were duly elected members of Committee for the year.

Mr. Woodin was tied with the last-named, but did not desire to serve.

There was no other business.

THE SWATOW' GUILD DIFFICULTY.

Referring to the Customs dispute at Swatow with the Chinese Merchants, of which we spoke last evening, we now give an abstract of the petition in Chinese, forwarded to us on the subject. The document purports to be the humble petition of seventy-eight Chinese firms of Swatow, addressed to the honourable Foreigners residing there; and it is issued because of certain fines imposed by the Commissioner of Customs on three Chinese firms. Two firms were fined, each Tls. 100. One, Hip Cheung, was first fined Tls. 200; and finally, having afterwards presented a petition in his defence, he was fined Tls. 200; and finally, having been two hours and a half late in paying the fines, he was fined in a further sum of Tls. 500. These mules arose out of a change in the Customs regulations for the port of Swatow to the following effect. Formerly inane- laneous cargo (Tao Fo), such as medicine, pieces of goods, &c., and Yau Tsui Fo, i.e. perishable and brittle goods, such as watches, clocks, furs, &c., were taken in cargo-boats to the Customs Shed for Examination, while the Tao Fo (bulky cargo, such as rice) was examined by the officers of the Customs on board the steamer or sailing vessel. The Commissioner of Customs have now altered this rule, and all cargo has to be brought to the Customs Shed for examination; and as a consequence the business of the undersigned Merchants is grievously hindered.

This heavy cargo (rice, &c.) is not consigned to one man, but to several tons, and each consignee would require one cargo-boat, which the ship would be sent to a man to look after the cargo. Where can so many men be got? and if one goes ill with his cargo, the merchants will be accused of smuggling and fined. Moreover, the Customs close at four o'clock, while such cargo goes sometimes take a long time to unload, and the cargo-boats would have to anchor at the Customs Shed all night. There would thus be fear of the boatmen stealing of the wind rising, and other contingencies; and the capital of the merchants would be wasted. Again, the cargo-boats travel slowly because they are so heavy; they are dependent upon favourable winds and tides, and when they have not these, even the strength of the boatmen is of no use, and if there be a heavy wind the boats are easily wrecked. Indeed, if these new regulations are enforced, the Chinese cannot continue to do business. The signatories therefore entreat the honourable foreigners to communicate with the Ambassador (or Consul) of their honourable nation, in order that he may communicate with the Inspector of Customs to the end that the Commissioner of Customs at Swatow may rescind the regulation complained of. Such is the essence of their case, as the Petitioners themselves put it forward.

SUPREME COURT.

IN PROBATE.

(Before His Honour the Acting Chief Justice, F. Swinton, Esq.)

Tuesday, May 31, 1881.

In the matter of the Estate of R. A. do Rosario, deceased; the caveat entered by M. A. Baptista, and others.

This was a case in which it was sought to be proved that under influence had been brought to bear upon the testator, Rafael Arcos do Rosario, sometime interpreter in the Supreme Court, in the execution of a codicil to a previous will.

Mr. Hayllar, instructed by Messrs. Brereton and Watson, appeared for the plaintiff; and Mr. Francis, instructed by Messrs. Sharp, Toller, and Johnson, acted for the defendants.

The case was heard before a jury consisting of the following gentlemen:—Messrs. F. Henderson, Henry Smith, Alexander and Remond, A. F. Smith, A. T. Manger, Henry Dickie, and F. A. Grobien.

Mr. Hayllar opened the case, by reading the declaration which had been filed in the case, which set forth that the deceased, who died on the 26th March, made his last will and testament, dated the 21st of April, 1874.

with a codicil bearing date 3rd January, 1881, which was attested in the usual way.

It was held that the testator was not of sound mind, memory and understanding at the latter date, and that the codicil was obtained by undue influence, and further that the deceased at the time of the execution did not know the particulars and contents of the documents. These were the issues which were raised before them.

The testator was a gentleman of the lifetime had a great desire to do with the Court. He wished that the first will, which he had been tied to the Colony, should be the first he had heard of. Mr. Rosario was a gentleman of great linguistic attainments, of exceptional intelligence, and who up to a very recent date had performed the arduous and responsible duties of an interpreter in that Court. He had made a will on the 21st of April, 1874. This was not in dispute. He had made subsequent to this a codicil on the 3rd of January, 1881, and they were now told by the defendants that he was out of his mind when he made it.

He adopted me when I was living in Macao. I called him uncle always after

that.

He called me by name. I left Macao in 1866. He paid my expenses, and before I came here he paid for my education. I was educated in St. Paul's College. When I left the College, he put me in an office in the Supreme Court, to learn office business, and to assist him. I lived with him at that time. He supplied me with clothes and everything. He employed me as agent for his house property, and I looked after his money. This was in 1874, when he went to England, when I had entire control of his business. I was appointed Attorney General's clerk before that. I continued to live with him until he went to England. After that I did not live with him as I had married and had a separate establishment. I was still on friendly terms with him. When he was taken ill, I somebody sent for Dr. Gomez to see him. I was not present when he became sick. I managed his affairs afterwards when he was taken ill. I went through the accounts with him every month, and these he understood perfectly. He went to Macao for change of air. I did not go with him. Lo Kun Chu went. That was in October. He was there for a few days. He could not walk about in the house, but went about in a chair. He used to sit in the steps of which he walked up. I managed his business for the deceased for some time before his death. He had no other landed property than mentioned in the will or codicil. All the shares he held are disposed of in the will. He had no money on deposit, save in the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank. All that is left by the will to his nephews and nieces is the shares in the Lusitano Club. These five shares might be worth \$250. On the Sunday evening after the funeral the will was in my possession. I was expressly told not to show the will to any one, but the Court. I was in the house of the testator between 7 and 8 o'clock on the morning of the 2nd January. There were no sisters or nieces present. On that day nothing particular happened concerning the testator save the making of this will. I know Petronio Xavier. She might have been there later. I did not see her. I did not see Father Burgham either. I did not see Maria Baptista either. I went upstairs. I saw the two attending witnesses and Lo Kun Chu. They came in after I had added the codicil. When they came in the testator asked them to sit down. He spoke in Chinese, and asked them to give him the testator's signatures. The testator was sitting. The Chinaman said all right. They then signed their names. They could see what he was doing. The will was lying on a stool. I saw him sign the codicil. After the two witnesses had signed the testator took up the will, looked at it, and said "all right." I have no personal acquaintance with the attending witnesses myself. He handed the codicil to me and I then got pen and ink, and I handed the will, which I think he took from a chest of drawers, and opened it. I had never seen that will before I wrote what he dictated. He said he could not write as his hand was shaking. That was all that occurred. I think he told Lo Kun Chu something. I returned the will to him after I was done. I was present at the execution of the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the will. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo Kun Chu, who are both mentioned in the former will. That was the cause of that action—because he did not divide it up among others." The gentleman who was plaintiff in the case was called Lo Kun Chu in the will and adopted son, of Mr. Rosario in the codicil. He had been an adopted son. The testator told me he had some property. He spoke in English. He said "I have some property which I have, by the codicil to the will and disposed, as far as he could make out, after the date of the will. They are not mentioned in the will, and he divides them up between Valentino Do Rosario and Lo

Intimations.

THE CHINA REVIEW.

THE widely-expressed regret at the discontinuance of *Notes & Queries* on China and Japan, has induced the publishers of this journal to issue a publication similar in object and style, but slightly modified in certain details.

The China Review, or Notes and Queries on the Far East, is issued at intervals of two months, each number containing about 60 octavo pages, occasionally illustrated with lithographs, photographs, woodcuts, &c., should the papers published demand it, and the circulation justify such extra matter.

The subscription is fixed at \$6.50 postage paid, per annum, payable by non-residents in Hongkong half-yearly in advance.

The publication includes papers original and selected upon the Arts and Sciences, Ethnology, Folklore, Geography, History, Literature, Mythology, Manœuvres and Customs, Natural History, Religion, &c., &c., of China, Japan, Mongolia, Tibet, the Eastern Archipelago and the "Far East" generally. A more detailed list of subjects upon which contributions are specially invited is incorporated with each number. Original contributions in Chinese, Latin, French, German, Spanish, Italian or Portuguese are admitted. Endorsements are made to present a record of each number of the contents of the most recent works bearing on Chinese matters. Great attention is also paid to the Review department.

Notes and Replies are classified together as "Notes" (head-references being given, when furnished, to previous Notes or Queries), and also those Queries which through asking for information, furnish new or unpublished details concerning the matter in hand. It is desirable to make the Review proper as brief and as much to the point as possible.

The *China Review* for July and August, 1875, is at hand. It says that fifty-two essays were sent in to compete for the first paper on the advantages of Christianity for the development of a State. All our learned societies should subscribe to this scholarly and enterprising Review. It is a sixteen-paged, bi-monthly, repository of what scholars are ascertaining about China. The lecture on Chinese Poetry in this volume is alone worth the price of the Review. Address *China Review, Hongkong, Northern California, U.S.A.*

The Eastern Oriental Record contains the following notice of the *China Review*:—"This is the title of a publication, the first number of which has lately reached us from Hongkong, where it has been set up, and in some respects a continuation of *Notes and Queries on China and Japan*, the extinction of which useful serial a year or two ago has been much regretted in Europe as well as in China. The present publication, judging by the number now before us, is intended to occupy a position, as regards China and the neighbouring countries, somewhat similar to that which has been filled in India by the *Calcutta Review*. The great degree of attention that has been bestowed of late years upon the investigation of Chinese literature, antiquities, and social developments, to say nothing of linguistic studies, has led to the accumulation of important stores of information, rendering some such channel of publicity as is now provided extremely desirable, and contributions of minor interest may fairly look for from the members of the foreign consular service, the Chinese Customs' corps, and the missionary body among whom a high degree of Chinese scholarship is now assiduously cultivated, and who are severally represented in the first number of the *Review* by papers highly creditable to their respective authors. In a paper on Dr. Legge's *Shih King*, by the Rev. E. J. Bier, to which the place of honour is deservedly given, an excellent summary is presented of the chronological problems and arguments involved in connexion with this important work. Some translations from Chinese novels and plays are marked by both accuracy and freshness of style; and an account of the career of the Chinese poet-statesman of the eleventh century, Su Tung-p'o, by Mr. E. C. Bowra, is not only historically valuable, but also distinguished by its literary grace. Beside notices of new books relating to China and the East, which will be a useful feature of the *Review*, if carried out with punctuality and detail, we are glad to notice that the "Notes" and "Queried" are intended to find a place in its pages also. It is to be hoped that this opening for contribution on Chinese subjects will evoke a similar degree of literary zeal to that which was displayed during the lifetime of its predecessor in the field, and that the *China Review* may receive the support necessary to insure its continuance. The publication is intended to appear every two months, and will form a substantial octavo magazine.

THE CHINESE MAIL.

This paper is now issued every day. The subscription is fixed at Four Dollars per annum, delivered in Hongkong, or Seven Dollars Fifty Cents including postage to Coast ports.

It is the first Chinese Newspaper ever issued under purely native direction. The chief support of the paper is of course derived from the native community, amongst whom also are to be found the emigrants and seafarers necessary to place it on a business and legal footing.

The projectors, basing their estimates upon the most reliable information from the various Ports in China and Japan—Australia, California, Singapore, Penang, Saigon, and other places frequented by the Chinese—consider themselves justified in guaranteeing an ultimate circulation of between 3,000 and 4,000 copies. The advantages offered to advertisers are therefore unusually great, and the foreign community generally will find it to their interest to avail themselves of them.

The field open to a paper of this description—conducted by native efforts, but progressive and anti-obstructive in tone—is almost limitless. It is on the one hand commands—Chinese belief and interest will on the other it deserves every aid that can be given to it by foreign firms. Like English papers it contains Editorial, News, and Commercial News and Advertisements.

Subscription orders for either of the above may be sent to

GEO. MURRAY BAIN,
China Mail Office.

FREDERIC ALGAR,
COLONIAL NEWSPAPER & COMMIS-
SIONER, AGENT,

11, Clement's Lane, Lombard Street,
LONDON.

THE Colonial Press supplied with News-
Papers, Books, Types, Ink, Presses,
Pens, Correspondence, Letters; and any
European Goods on London terms.

NOTICE TO SHIPPERS.

Colonial Newspapers received at the office
are regularly filed for the inspection of
Advertisers and the Public.

Visitors' Column.

We have instituted an experiment in *Visitors' Columns*, which we trust will prove successful, and be found useful. To it will be relegated from time to time such items of information, lists and tables and other intelligence as is considered likely to prove valuable to persons passing through the City, and in connection with which we have opened a **SELECT HOTEL AND BUSINESS DIRECTORY**, applications for enrolment into which we are now ready to receive.

List of Public Buildings.

Government House, North of Public Gardens.

City Hall, Library (8,000 volumes) and Museum.—Free.

Public Gardens, a beautifully picturesquely retreat of great interest.

The Clock Tower, Queen's Road Central, in a line with Pedder's Wharf.

General Post Office, Hongkong Club, German Club, Supreme Court, &c., within a stone's-throw.

Lustiano Club and Library, Shelley Street.

Government Offices, the Secretariat, &c., near the Public Gardens.

St. John's Cathedral (Anglican), above the Parade Ground.

Roman Catholic Cathedral, Wellington Street.

Union Church, Elgin Street.

St. Peter's Church, West Point.

St. Joseph's (B.C.) Church, Garden Road, near Kennedy Road.

Temperance Hall, specially adapted for sea-faring men, Queen's Road East.

Sailors' Home, West Point.

E. A. and China Telegraph Co., and the Great Northern Telegraph Co., Marine House, Queen's Road.

Masonic Hall, Zetland Street.

Victorian Recreation Club—Birth-house and Boat-house, &c.—Prayin beyond the Cricket Ground, beside the City Hall.

The Barracks and Naval and Military Store Departments, lie to the eastward, and cover a large area.

Stores, Books, &c.

General Outfitter, Huster, Tailor, &c.—T. N. DICKSON, 43rd and Queen's Road, by special appointment to H.E. the Governor.

Chromometers, Watches, Jewellery, Maps and Charts.—G. FALCONER & Co., Queen's Road Central.

American and English Stores, Books, and specially selected Cigars.—MAC-EWEN, PRICKEL & Co.

CHAIR AND CANOPY

LEGALIZED TARIFF OF FARES FOR CHAIR-
CHAIR BEARERS, AND BOATS,
IN THE COLONY OF HONGKONG.

Chairs and Ordinary Pulleyway Boats

Half hour, 10 cts. | Hour, 20 cts.
Three hours, 50 cts. | Six hours, 70 cts.

Day (from 6 to 6), One Dollar.

TO VICTORIA PEAK.

Single Trip.

Four Coolies, \$1.00

Three Coolies, 85

Two Coolies, 67

Return (direct or by Pek-foo-tum).

Four Coolies, \$1.50

Three Coolies, 1.20

Two Coolies, 1.00

To VICTORIA PEAK (TO LEVEL OF UMBRELLA).

Single Trip.

Four Coolies, \$0.60

Three Coolies, 0.40

Two Coolies, 0.40

Return (direct or by Pek-foo-tum).

Four Coolies, \$1.00

Three Coolies, 85

Two Coolies, 70

The Return Fare embraces a trip of not more than three hours.

For every hour or part of an hour above three hours, each Coolie will be entitled to an additional payment of 6 cents.

Day Trip (Peak), \$0.75 each Coolie (12 hours) | Gap, \$0.60 each Coolie.

Licensed Bearers (each).

Hour, 10 cents.

Half-day, 35 cents.

Day, 50 cents.

BOAT AND COOIE HIRE.

1st Class Cargo Boat of 8 or 900
picks, per Day, \$5.70

1st Class Cargo Boat of 8 or 900
picks, per Load, 2.00

2nd Class Cargo Boat of 600
picks, per Day, 2.50

2nd Class Cargo Boat of 600
picks, per Load, 1.75

3rd Class Cargo Boat or Ha-kan Boat of 800
picks, per Day, 1.50

3rd Class Cargo Boat or Ha-kan Boat of 800
picks, per Load, 1.00

3rd Class Cargo Boat or Ha-kan Boat of 800
picks, Half Day, 60

Campans, or Pullaway Boats per Day, \$1.00

One Hour, 25

Half an Hour, 10

After 6 p.m., 10 cents extra.

Nothing in this Scale prevents private agree-
ments.

CHINESE COOLIES.

Seals of Hire for Street Coolies.

One Day, \$2.00

Half Day, 1.20

Three Hours, 1.20

Half Hour, 5

Nothing in the above Scale to affect private agree-
ments.

NOTICE TO SHIPPERS.

Colonial Newspapers received at the office
are regularly filed for the inspection of
Advertisers and the Public.

Hongkong Rates of Postage.

(Revised December 1st, 1880.)

In the following Statements and Tables the Rates are given in cents, and are, for Letters, per half ounce, for Books and Patterns, per two ounces.

Newspapers over four ounces in weight

are charged as double, treble, &c., as the case may be, but such papers or packets or patterns may be sent at Book Rate. Two newspapers must not exceed the following dimensions, 2 feet long, 1 foot broad, 1 foot deep, nor weigh more than 1 lb.

The postage will be paid by the sender, except bond side Supplements. Printed matter may, however, be enclosed, if the whole be paid at Book Rate. Price Current may be paid either as Newspapers, or

Commercial Papers signify such papers as though written by Hand, do not bear

the character of an author or personal correspondence, and are not copied, copied, &c., the charge on them is the same as for books, but, whatever the weight of a packet containing any partially written paper, it will not be charged less than 5

cents.

The sender of any Registered Article may accompany it with a Return Receipt or paying an extra fee of 5 cents.

The limit of weight for Books and Commercial Papers to Foreign Post Offices is 4 lbs. Patterns for such offices are limited to 8 ounces, and must not exceed these dimensions: 8 inches by 4 inches by 2

inches.

N.R. means No Registration.

Countries of the Postal Union.

The Union may be taken to comprise Europe, most foreign possessions in Asia, Africa, Egypt, Mauritius, all N. America, Mexico, Salvador, Brazil, Peru, Chili, Venezuela, The Argentine Republic, Jamaica, Trinidad, Guiana, Honduras, Bermuda, Labuan, with all Danish Islands, and the Spanish Colonies.

Countries not in the Union.—The chief countries not in the Union are the Australian Group, and S. Africa.

Postage to Union Countries.

General Rates, by any route.

Letters, 10 cents per oz.

Post Cards, 6 cents each.

Postals, 10 cents.

Books and Patterns, 2 cents per oz.

Com. Papers, 2 cents per 2 oz.

There is no charge on redirected correspondence within the Postal Union.

Postage to Non-Union Countries.

Hawaiian Kingdom.

Letters, 10

Registration, None.

Newspapers, 2

Books and Patterns, 5

West Indies (Non-Union), Bolivia.

Costa Rica, Guatemala, New Granada, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay.

Australia, New Zealand, Tasmania, and Fiji, via Torres Straits, Letters, 10

Registration, None.

Newspapers, 5

Books and Patterns, 5

Costa Rica, Guatemala, New Granada, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay.

Letters, 10